UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,967	03/12/2007	Jef Fensholdt	3893-0250PUS1	8915
	7590 09/15/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/580,967	FENSHOLDT ET A	L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Taofiq A. Solola	1625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<del></del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-21,23,25-27,40 and 44</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 5-21, 23, 25-27, 40, 44</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or election re	quirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		_					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1, 3, 5-21, 23, 25-27, 40, 44, are pending in this application.

Claims 2, 4, 22, 24, 28-39, 41-43, 45-49, are deleted.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

Claims 1, 3, 5-21, 23, 25-27, 40, 44, are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13) and, accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(b), provides that special technical features mean those technical features which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art (novelty and unobviousness).

- I. Claims 1, 3, 5-21, 23, 25-27, drawn to compounds of formula I and composition thereof, not classifiable until a species is elected. Election of a species is required and the group may be subject to further restriction.
- II. Claims 40, 44, drawn to various methods of using compounds of formula I, classifiable in class 514, and subclass cannot determined until election of species is made. Election of a species and a specific disease are required, and the compounds may be subject to further restriction.
- 1. In the instant inventions, the only structural element shared by groups I-II is -N-C-C-N-
- O-. However, -N-C-C-N-O- is well-known in the art. Therefore, under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2, -N-C-C-N-O- does not constitute a special technical feature among the groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,967

Art Unit: 1625

## Selection of a Species

Page 3

2. In an election of any of Groups I-II, a provisional election of a single compound is further required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule (Formula #), wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected. For example, if a base molecule has a substituent group R1, wherein R1 is recited to be any one of H, OH, COOH, aryl, alkoxy, halogen, amino, etc., then applicant must select a single substituent of R1, for example OH or aryl, and each subsequent variable position.

In the instant case, Applicant must elect one representative for each ring and their substituents in formula I, and the point of attachment of each elected ring and substituent must be specified. The elected substituents must be specific not generic. The parts of the elected species corresponding to the substituents in formula I must be identified, and the structure of the species must be submitted.

Examination will then proceed on the elected species. Per MPEP 803.02, if the elected species is found unpatentable, the provisional election will be given effect and all other claimed species will be withdrawn from consideration. If the elected species is found allowable, the search will be expanded by the Examiner to other species and subgeneric compounds within the elected group until:

- I. A prior art is found.
- II. Compounds of the elected invention are found to lack unity of invention. See above.
- III. All the compounds of the elected group are searched or "to the extent necessary to determine patentability." "The prior art search will not be extended unnecessarily to cover all nonelected species." MPEP 803.02.

In accordance with the decisions in *In re Weber*, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Haas*, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA, 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter lacks unity of invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the compounds are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the compounds to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1625

## Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the examiner before the patent issues withdraws the restriction requirement. See MPEP § 804.01.

### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,967 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

/Taofiq A. Solola/

Primary Examiner, 1625

September 10, 2009